

An aqueous media based approach for the preparation of a biosensor platform composed of graphene oxide and Pt-black

Jin Shi ^{a,e,1}, Hangyu Zhang ^{b,1}, Alexandra Snyder ^c, Mei-xian Wang ^d, Jian Xie ^d,
D. Marshall Porterfield ^{a,b,e,f,*}, Lia A. Stanciu ^{b,c,**}

^a Birck-Bindley Physiological Sensing Facility, Purdue University, 1203 West State Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

^b Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Purdue University, 206 South Martin Jischke Drive, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

^c School of Materials Engineering, Purdue University, 701 West Stadium Avenue, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

^d Department of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue School of Engineering and Technology, IUPUI, 723 West Michigan Street, Room SL 260M, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA

^e Department of Agricultural & Biological Engineering, Purdue University, 225 South University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

^f Department of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, Purdue University, 625 Agriculture Mall Drive, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 April 2012

Received in revised form

4 June 2012

Accepted 6 June 2012

Available online 15 June 2012

Keywords:

Graphene oxide

Pt-black

Glucose oxidase

Bio-nanocomposite

Biosensor

ABSTRACT

The combination of Pt nanoparticles and graphene was more effective in enhancing biosensing than either nanomaterial alone according to previous reports. Based on the structural similarities between water soluble graphene oxide (GrO_x) and graphene, we report the fabrication of an aqueous media based GrO_x/Pt-black nanocomposite for biosensing enhancement. In this approach GrO_x acted as a nanoscale molecular template for the electrodeposition of Pt-black, an amorphously nanopatterned isoform of platinum metal. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) showed that Pt-black was growing along GrO_x. The effective surface area and electrocatalytic activity towards H₂O₂ oxidation of GrO_x/Pt-black microelectrodes were significantly higher than for Pt-black microelectrodes. When used to prepare a bio-nanocomposite based on protein functionalization with the enzyme glucose oxidase (GOx), the GrO_x/Pt-black microbiosensors exhibited improved sensitivity over the Pt-black microbiosensors. This suggested that the GrO_x/Pt-black nanocomposite facilitated an increase in electron transfer, and/or minimized mass transport limitations as compared to Pt-black used alone. Glucose microbiosensors based on GrO_x/Pt-black exhibited high sensitivity (465.9 ± 48.0 nA/mM), a low detection limit of 1 μM, a linear response range of 1 μM–2 mM, and response time of ~4 s. Additionally the sensor was stable and highly selective over potential interferents.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Nanomaterials, including carbon-based materials and metallic nanostructures, have been widely used to enhance biosensor performance due to their unique electrocatalytic properties (McLamore et al., 2010a, 2010b, 2009, 2011; Shi, 2011a,b,c; Shi et al., 2011). Graphene (Shao et al.) and carbon nanotubes (CNTs; Wang, 2005) have received a lot of attention because of the

* Corresponding author at: Department of Agricultural & Biological Engineering, Purdue University, 225 South University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA. Tel.: +1 765 494 1190; fax: +1 765 496 1115.

** Corresponding author at: School of Materials Engineering, Purdue University, 701 West Stadium Avenue, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA. Tel.: +1 765 496 3552; fax: +1 765 494 1204.

E-mail addresses: porter@purdue.edu (D. Marshall Porterfield), lstanciu@purdue.edu (L.A. Stanciu).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

capacity of these materials to increase electron transfer during the oxidation or reduction of the electroactive intermediate species in biosensing (e.g., H₂O₂ and NADH). This is associated with the edge-plane or edge-plane-like defect sites, which function as active electron transfer sites (Banks et al., 2005). Graphene, the basic structural element of graphite and carbon nanotubes (Liu et al., 2009), is a one-atom thick planar sheet of sp²-bonded carbon (Stankovich et al., 2006). Compared with CNTs, graphene modified electrodes have shown higher electrochemical sensitivity towards dopamine detection attributed to the increased sp² bonding content of graphene and the associated electrical conductivity. The application of graphene to biosensor fabrication requires depositing graphene on electrode surfaces and the major obstacle for graphene deposition is graphene restacking and agglomeration in aqueous solutions by van der Waals forces (Yang et al., 2011). Agglomeration decreases the efficiency of graphene because the electrocatalytic properties of graphene are associated with individual sheets (Yang et al., 2011).

Polymers such as chitosan have been used to suspend graphene, and the polymer/graphene mixture (sometimes containing enzymes) is then deposited on electrodes (Alwarappan et al., 2009; Kang et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2009). One potential drawback with polymer immobilization is that the non-conductive polymer layer forms a diffusion barrier for analytes, and the barrier may decrease the active area of the biosensors, resulting in low sensitivity (Shi, 2011a).

Platinum black (Pt-black), a layer of amorphous clusters of Pt nanoparticles (Chang et al., 2007), has been reported in the literature to enhance the performance of various biosensors, due to its electrocatalytic activities and excellent biocompatibility (Jaffe and Nuccitelli, 1974; McLamore et al., 2010a, 2010b, 2011; Shi, 2011a; Shi et al., 2011). Previous works showed that the combination of Pt/Pd nanoparticles and graphene was more effective in enhancing biosensing than using either material alone (Lu et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2011). However, polymers (such as Nafion and polyvinylpyrrolidone) were used to suspend graphene in these reports, but the residual polymer on the biosensor after graphene immobilization may limit mass analyte transport (Shi, 2011a, b).

Considering the disadvantages, including the requirement of polymer suspension associated with graphene preparation/immobilization, water soluble graphene oxide (GrO_x) may be an alternative that will enhance the electrocatalytic activity and sensitivity of biosensors. The structure of GrO_x mainly consists of graphene-like sheets (Rourke et al., 2011). GrO_x can be synthesized from the oxidative treatment of graphite by sulfuric acid, sodium nitrate and potassium permanganate (Hummers and Offeman, 1958). The structural model of GrO_x is still ambiguous (Dreyer et al., 2009), but according to the Lerf-Klinowski model (He et al., 1998a, 1998b), GrO_x consists of unoxidized benzene rings, and the rings are separated by aliphatic 6-membered rings. GrO_x is water soluble due to the hydroxyl and epoxide groups on the basal planes and the carbonyl and carboxyl groups at the sheet edges (He et al., 1998b; Stankovich et al., 2006, 2007). Thus, GrO_x can be dissolved and manipulated in aqueous media, which allows for electrode modification based on simple drop-coating methods using a GrO_x aqueous solution (Zhang et al., 2011). As a nanomaterial that contains graphene-like sheets, GrO_x has exhibited electrocatalytic activities on the electro-oxidation of NADH, and the oxidation potential for NADH detection for GrO_x modified electrodes has been reported to be lower compared with reduced GrO_x (rGrO_x) modified electrodes (+410 mV for GrO_x versus +510 mV for rGrO_x; Zhang et al., 2011). Another potential advantage of GrO_x over graphene is that the oxygenated species, which have been shown to possibly contribute to the catalytic activity of graphene (Pumera et al., 2009), are completely preserved. Our previous studies have shown that Pt-black electrodeposited on water-soluble single-stranded DNA–CNT-modified electrodes grew along the CNTs, which significantly increased the sensitivity of the biosensors for glucose and adenosine-5'-triphosphate measurements, as compared with direct Pt-black deposition on the sensor surface without single-stranded DNA–CNT pre-modification (Shi, 2011a). It is possible that the water soluble GrO_x may also serve as a molecular template for the electrodeposition of Pt-black, and the resulting GrO_x/Pt-black nanocomposite will increase sensitivity towards glucose and H₂O₂ detection, as the templated growth of Pt-black may significantly increase the effective surface area of the biosensor. In addition, GrO_x entrapped by Pt-black will not dissolve back into solution when the electrodes are stored over time.

Glucose plays an important role in metabolism. Glucose biosensors have contributed significantly to cancer research (e.g., Wharburg effect), diabetes, and clinical monitoring (McLamore et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2011; Wang, 1999). Glucose biosensing usually

involves two steps. In the first step, the immobilized glucose oxidase (GOx) converts glucose and oxygen into gluconic acid and H₂O₂. In the second step, H₂O₂ is oxidized to O₂ when a working potential is applied to the biosensor. An electric current proportional to glucose concentration is measured to quantify glucose. GOx has emerged as one of the most widely used enzymes to demonstrate the efficiency of novel nanomaterials in enhancing biosensing (McLamore et al., 2011; Shi, 2011a,b,c; Shi et al., 2011) due to its robustness and the ease of attaching GOx to electrode surfaces via glutaraldehyde via covalent formation of Schiff bases (Makino et al., 1988; McLamore et al., 2010b).

In this study, we report the design of an aqueous media based process for the fabrication of GrO_x/Pt-black nanocomposites for the detection of glucose. Microelectrodes modified with GrO_x/Pt-black were studied using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), cyclic voltammetry and DC potential amperometry to characterize the surface morphology, composition, surface area expansion, and the electrocatalytic activities of the nanocomposite. By attaching GOx to the GrO_x/Pt-black modified electrodes via glutaraldehyde, we developed a bio-nanocomposite microbiosensor as a model biosensor. The major parameters of the model biosensor were characterized to demonstrate the potential of the GrO_x/Pt-black material as a universal platform for a wide variety of physiological sensing applications.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

GO_x (E.C.1.1.3.4, 100,000–250,000 units/g, from *Aspergillus niger*), D-glucose, potassium chloride (99%), potassium ferricyanide (K₃Fe(CN)₆), chloroplatinic acid solution (8% wt/wt), lead acetate (reagent grade, 95%), sodium chloride (NaCl), magnesium chloride bovine serum albumin, glutaraldehyde (Grade II, 25% aqueous solution), ascorbic acid, uric acid and acetaminophen were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Sodium phosphate (Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O) and potassium phosphate (KH₂PO₄, monobasic) were purchased from Fisher chemicals (Pittsburg, PA). Phosphate buffered saline (PBS; 0.01 M) was prepared by dissolving 8.0 g NaCl, 1.2 g Na₂HPO₄, 0.2 g KCl and 0.2 g KH₂PO₄ in 1.0 L deionized water.

2.2. Synthesis of graphene oxide

GrO_x was synthesized from natural graphite powder (325 mesh, Alfa Aesar) by the modified Hummers method (Hummers and Offeman, 1958). GrO_x was then suspended in water to give a brown dispersion, which was subjected to separation by centrifugation 5 times to completely remove residual salts and acids. The purified GrO_x was then dispersed in deionized water (0.5 mg/mL). Exfoliation of GrO_x was achieved by ultrasonication of the dispersion using an ultrasonic bath (Branson 2510).

2.3. Construction of microelectrodes and microbiosensors

All microsensors were constructed based on a Pt/Ir wire microelectrode (PI20033.0A10, 51 mm length, 0.256 mm diameter, 1–2 μm tip diameter according to the manufacturer's specifications). The design schemes that used nanomaterials were as follows

Pt-black microelectrodes: the microelectrode was connected to a potentiostat (cathode) against a bare Pt wire (0.5 mm in diameter; Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA), which acted as the anode. Pt-black was electrodeposited by applying a voltage of 10 V for 1 min using a potentiostat (Applicable Electronics) in a solution of 0.36% (wt/wt%) chloroplatinic acid and 0.0005% lead acetate.

GrO_x/Pt-black microelectrodes: 2 μL GrO_x was applied to the microelectrode and air-dried for 30 min. Pt-black was then electrodeposited following the previous protocol.

Glucose microbiosensors: 60 μL 50 mg GOx/mL PBS was mixed with 40 μL 25 mg BSA/mL PBS and 20 μL 2.5% glutaraldehyde. A volume of 2 μL of the mixture was applied to unmodified microelectrodes or microelectrodes modified with Pt-black, or GrO_x/Pt-black, and air-dried for 30 min. When not used, the glucose microbiosensors were stored at -20°C .

2.4. Sensor calibration

Three replicates were prepared for all the microbiosensors/microelectrodes. DC potential amperometry was performed to characterize the sensitivity, and the difference in performance (experimental error) was reflected by the standard error of the mean in reported sensitivity. DC potential amperometry was conducted with a 3 electrode electrochemical (C-3) cell stand (BASi, West Lafayette, IN) at a working potential of +500 mV versus a Ag/AgCl reference electrode with a sampling rate of 1 kHz. Reference electrodes (Ag/AgCl) and Pt auxiliary electrodes were purchased from BASi. Amperometric sensitivity towards glucose was determined by measuring current at a constant working potential while sequentially adding glucose to mixed solutions (pH 7.4, room temperature and all solutions stirred at 300 rpm). Following each glucose addition, the measured current signal was allowed to reach steady state (defined as less than a 3% fluctuation for 10 s). Average current values represented the arithmetic mean of observed current ($n=10,000$ data points). Response time (t_{95}) was calculated as the time for the sensor to reach 95% of its maximum amperometric response following addition of 100 μM glucose. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed with a 3 electrode electrochemical (C-3) cell stand (BASi,

West Lafayette, IN) in 4 mM $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{3-}$ with 1 M KNO_3 as a supporting electrolyte. A sweep range of 0–+650 mV was used with a 10 s quiet time. The detection limit was determined using the minimum detectable concentration. Sensitivity density, K (in $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$), is calculated (Shi, 2011b) based on

$$K = i/A \quad (1)$$

where i is the amperometric sensitivity ($\mu\text{A mM}^{-1}$) and A is the effective surface area (cm^2) of the tip of the biosensor.

2.5. Effective surface area characterization

The CV reduction peak current i_p is determined by the Randles–Sevcik Equation (Kissinger and William, 1983) $i_p = (2.69 \times 10^5) n^{3/2} D^{1/2} C A \nu^{1/2}$ (2) where i_p is the peak current (A), n is the number of electrons transferred during the redox reaction of $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{3-}$, D is the diffusion coefficient of $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{3-}$ ($6.70 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$; Chou et al., 1979), C is the molar concentration of ferricyanide (4 mM), A is the electroactive surface area (cm^2), and ν is the scan rate (V s^{-1}). CV was carried out at different scan rates ($\nu=20, 50, 100, 125, 150$ and 200 mV/s) following previous works (Kissinger and William, 1983; Shi, 2011a, b) and effective surface area A was obtained from the slope in linear regression between i_p and $\nu^{1/2}$.

2.6. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS)

SEM and EDS measurements were performed using an FEI Philips XL40 SEM equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray detector from EDAX Inc.




Fig. 1. Representative SEM image and EDS spectrum of GrO_x/Pt-black microelectrode ((a) and (b), respectively) and Pt-black microelectrode ((c) and (d), respectively). In EDS, the peak for carbon was noted as "C K" and for platinum as "Pt M" ("K" and "M" represent the electron shells).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Surface morphology and composition

SEM and EDS were initially used to characterize the surface morphology and composition of GrO_x/Pt-black-modified and Pt-black-modified microelectrodes. The micrographs and EDS spectra are shown in Fig. 1. For GrO_x/Pt-black microelectrodes, several “lines” of Pt clusters were observed (Fig. 1a), which was similar to Pt-black grown on single-stranded DNA modified single-walled CNT (SWCNT) templates (Shi, 2011a), indicating a similar templated electrodeposition process for Pt-black, which followed GrO_x as the molecular templates. This was further supported by the peak for carbon (noted as “C K”) in the EDS spectrum due to the immobilized GrO_x (Fig. 1b). Pt-black deposited directly on the microelectrode surface showed an amorphous layer of Pt-clusters (Fig. 1c), and the EDS spectrum for the Pt-black microelectrode showed no carbon peak (Fig. 1d), indicating that when no GrO_x template was applied, the pattern of Pt-black was totally amorphous.

3.2. Electrochemical characterization

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) of potassium ferricyanide (Kissinger and William, 1983; Shi, 2011a, b) at an unmodified Pt microelectrode, a Pt-black modified Pt microelectrode and a GrO_x/Pt-black modified Pt microelectrode in KNO₃ supporting electrolyte was performed to estimate their surface area. GrO_x/Pt-black electrodes exhibited well-defined redox peaks that were characteristic of macroelectrodes (Van Benschoten, 1983), Pt-black electrodes showed redox peaks which were not as well-defined as GrO_x/Pt-black, and the unmodified electrodes showed a sigmoidal CV curve with steady state diffusion limited currents, which was typical of microelectrodes (Fig. 2a). The difference in the shape of CV indicated that the effective surface area of the unmodified microelectrodes was significantly increased after nanomaterial modification. The peak separation in both cyclic voltammograms obtained at the GrO_x/Pt-black and Pt-black modified electrodes was estimated to be 110 mV, demonstrating that the electrochemical reversibility was not affected when GrO_x was incorporated. The effective surface area of the GrO_x/Pt-black electrodes was estimated to be $(6.0 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2$ ($n=3$), and our previous work showed that the effective surface area of Pt-black electrodes was $(4.7 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2$ (Shi, 2011a). GrO_x/Pt-black modified electrodes exhibited a 12-time larger effective surface area than that of Pt-black modified electrodes. Compared with the unmodified microelectrodes (surface area specified as $1.8 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2$), the surface area was expanded by more than 2.6×10^4 times due to Pt-black, and the expansion was even more significant (over 3.3×10^5 times) when GrO_x was incorporated. Previous studies have shown that the conductivity of GrO_x changes with temperature (Jung et al., 2008). Although the resistance of GrO_x was high (1–2 MΩ for GrO_x sheet of approximately $400 \mu\text{m}^2$ in area and 1 nm in thickness) at room temperature (Jung et al., 2008), SEM/EDS results and the increased effective surface area indicated that GrO_x could still function as a molecular template for Pt-black electrodeposition, which resulted in an overall increase of the effective surface area of the microelectrodes. CV in PBS showed that although the charging currents increased for GrO_x/Pt-black and Pt-black modified electrodes compared with unmodified electrodes, the noise did not increase correspondingly (Fig. 2b).

3.3. Electrocatalytic activity

The electrocatalytic activity of the nanocomposites was characterized in terms of the amperometric sensitivity to H₂O₂,




Fig. 2. Representative CV of unmodified Pt, Pt-black and GrO_x/Pt-black microelectrodes at +20 mV/s in (a) 4 mM Fe(CN)₆³⁻/1 M KNO₃ and (b) 0.01 M PBS. Insets: CV for the unmodified microelectrode.




Fig. 3. Representative amperometric response to H₂O₂ for unmodified, Pt-black and GrO_x/Pt-black microelectrodes at +500 mV (arrows indicate addition of 10 μM H₂O₂).

because H₂O₂ is the electroactive intermediate for oxidase based biosensors, including glucose biosensors (McLamore et al., 2010b, 2011; Shi, 2011a,b,c; Shi et al., 2011). DC potential amperometry at +500 mV showed a well-defined response to H₂O₂ for unmodified microelectrodes, Pt-black microelectrodes and GrO_x/Pt-black microelectrodes (Fig. 3; enlarged figures for response curves of unmodified and Pt-black microelectrodes are shown in Fig. S1). The amperometric sensitivity was calculated using the slope in linear regression for current and H₂O₂ concentration. The corresponding sensitivity value of unmodified electrodes was $1.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ nA/mM}$, and Pt-black modification increased this value to $375.7 \pm 140.1 \text{ nA/mM}$ according to our previous work (Shi, 2011a), while the modification of the electrodes with GrO_x/Pt-black nanocomposite further increased H₂O₂ sensitivity by

more than 12 times ($4.8 \pm 2.2 \mu\text{A}/\text{mM}$) compared with Pt-black, indicating a clear advantage of GrO_x incorporation in this configuration.

3.4. Biosensing performance

Glucose microbiosensors were fabricated by cross-linking GOx to unmodified or modified microelectrodes using glutaraldehyde. DC potential amperometry for the detection of glucose was conducted by adopting previously reported experimental conditions of working potential at +500 mV, pH 7.4, and 3 replicates (McLamore et al., 2011; Shi, 2011a, b; Shi et al., 2011). As shown in Fig. 4a, a well-defined amperometric response to glucose was observed for the microbiosensors (enlarged figures for response curves of biosensors based on unmodified and Pt-black microelectrodes are shown in Fig. S2.). Glucose microbiosensors based on unmodified microelectrodes exhibited a glucose sensitivity of $0.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ nA}/\text{mM}$, and Pt-black increased the sensitivity by more than 22 times ($18.3 \pm 5.7 \text{ nA}/\text{mM}$) according to our previous study (Shi, 2011a). Significantly increased glucose sensitivity was observed with $\text{GrO}_x/\text{Pt-black}$ microbiosensors ($465.9 \pm 48.0 \text{ nA}/\text{mM}$), which was more than 25 times that of Pt-black based biosensors. The relatively small standard error of the mean in sensitivity indicated desirable reproducibility. We reported in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 that the surface area and the electrocatalytic activities were both increased by the $\text{GrO}_x/\text{Pt-black}$ nanocomposite. Since drop-coating was used for enzyme immobilization, the total enzyme loading was not significantly different for all the microbiosensors. Thus, we propose that the enhanced glucose biosensing performance could be mainly attributed to increased effective surface area and electrochemical activities due to the hybrid nanocomposite. A summary of the major electrochemical parameters (effective surface area, H_2O_2 sensitivity and glucose sensitivity) of unmodified Pt microelectrodes, Pt-black microelectrodes and $\text{GrO}_x/\text{Pt-black}$ microelectrodes is shown in Fig. 4b. $\text{GrO}_x/\text{Pt-black}$ microelectrodes exhibited superior performance




Fig. 4. (a) Representative amperometric response of glucose microbiosensors based on unmodified, Pt-black and $\text{GrO}_x/\text{Pt-black}$ microelectrodes at +500 mV (arrows indicate addition of 100 μM glucose). (b) Histogram showing the major electrochemical parameters of unmodified Pt, Pt-black and $\text{GrO}_x/\text{Pt-black}$ microelectrodes (error bars represent standard error of the mean).

over Pt-black and unmodified microelectrodes in all the aforementioned aspects.

Glucose microbiosensors based on the $\text{GrO}_x/\text{Pt-black}$ nanocomposite showed a detection limit of 1 μM , a linear response range of 1 μM –2 mM ($R=0.999$), and a response time (t_{95}) of ~ 4 s (Fig. 5a and b). The low concentration part (1–32 μM) of Fig. 5a is shown in Fig. S3. The limited linear range was due to saturated enzyme kinetics and saturated diffusion of substrates and products (Mauko et al., 2009; Mell and Maloy, 1975). Selectivity tests for $\text{GrO}_x/\text{Pt-black}$ microbiosensors were carried out with the common interferences in human blood including ascorbic acid, uric acid and acetaminophen following a previously




Fig. 5. (a) Representative amperometric response to glucose in the whole linear range (1 μM – 2 mM) for $\text{GrO}_x/\text{Pt-black}$ microbiosensors at +500 mV (arrows (from left to right) indicate addition of 1, 1, 5, 10, 10, 50, 50, 100, 100, 200, 500, and 500 μM glucose). (b) Linear regression for (a) (error bars represent standard error of the mean). (c) Representative amperometric response to 2 mM glucose (noted as "Glu"), 125 μM ascorbic acid (AA), 330 μM uric acid (UA), and 130 μM acetaminophen (AP) for $\text{GrO}_x/\text{Pt-black}$ microbiosensors.

Table 1

Summary for the major parameters of biosensors based on nanocomposites.

Biosensor design	Sensitivity (pA mM ⁻¹)	Sensitivity density (μA mM ⁻¹ cm ⁻²)	Linear range (mM)	Response time (s)	Reference
GrO _x /Pt-black/GO _x	(4.7 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁵	78.0 ± 3.6	0.001–2	4	This work
PtNP-CS/GO _x	1.03 × 10 ⁶	8.2	0.001–4	5	Li (2012)
Gelatin-MWCNT/GO _x	–	2.47	6.3–20.1	–	Periasamy (2011)
Carbon fiber/Ru/GO _x	430	25.2	up to 7	20	Kohma (2007)
AuNP/GO _x	–	2.47	0.02–5.7	8	Zhang (2005)
Carbon film/GO _x	4.2 × 10 ⁴	21	0.005–5	–	Kurita (2002)

published protocol (Shi, 2011a). DC potential amperometry tests (+500 mV working potential) were performed with 2 mM glucose (concentration in the middle of the linear range), followed by additions of 125 μM ascorbic acid (physiological concentration), 330 μM uric acid (physiological concentration), and 130 μM acetaminophen (therapeutic concentration; Fig. 5c). The current response to ascorbic acid, uric acid and acetaminophen was 0.2%, 4.8% and 0.8%, respectively, compared to the current response to glucose, demonstrating excellent selectivity towards the common interferents. This showed that the microbiosensors based on the GrO_x/Pt-black nanocomposite could be readily used for physiological studies. The working potential (+500 mV) was chosen to ensure a desirable amperometric sensitivity and a low probability of oxidizing interference compounds (Claussen et al., 2009; Shi, 2011a, b; Sun et al., 2010). The high sensitivity and the desirable selectivity as shown above justified the working potential used. A 4.8 ± 0.2% change in sensitivity was observed with repeated glucose tests on the same microbiosensor, demonstrating desirable repeatability. The stability of the GrO_x/Pt-black microbiosensors was characterized by measuring the sensitivity over 7 days. The sensitivity was decreased by 9% over 7 days storage in air at a temperature of −20 °C. The stability of the sensor was mainly determined by the enzyme immobilization approach used, where glutaraldehyde was for cross-linking and BSA was for protecting enzymes. Thus, the stability can be improved and the shelf life of the sensor can be extended to more than 1 year by optimizing the concentration of glucose oxidase, glutaraldehyde and BSA (House et al., 2007). Considering the desirable performance, the biosensors based on GrO_x/Pt-black nanocomposites will possess a wide variety of clinical and research applications, e.g. cancer cell glucose metabolism studies.

The efficiency of nanocomposites/nanomaterials in biosensor enhancement reported by different works should be characterized by sensitivity density instead of sensitivity, because different works used different substrate electrodes to construct biosensors, and the calculation of sensitivity density standardized the comparison by normalizing the sensitivity based on the effective surface area (Shi, 2011b). A comparison of the sensitivity density for the GrO_x/Pt-black biosensor and other biosensors, together with other biosensing parameters (sensitivity, linear range and response time), is presented in Table 1. The sensitivity density of the GrO_x/Pt-black biosensor was the highest among the cited works (Kohma et al., 2007; Kurita et al., 2002; Li et al., 2012; Periasamy et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2005). The linear range was not as desirable as some works (e.g., Periasamy et al., 2011), which might be improved by further optimizing the construction procedure of the biosensor.

4. Conclusions

This work presented a novel aqueous media compatible approach for preparing GrO_x/Pt-black nanocomposites that can be used as platforms for the design of glucose sensors with

enhanced sensitivity compared to other electrochemical biosensing configurations. This approach avoided the common problems with applying graphene to biosensor construction, such as the use of polymers and hydrazine. Water based immobilization of GrO_x was simple, while the electrodeposition of Pt-black following GrO_x deposition entrapped GrO_x and prevented it from dissolving back. Surface expansion, improved electrocatalytic activities, and improved sensitivity towards measuring glucose due to GrO_x/Pt-black have been demonstrated. These results showed the effectiveness of using drop-coated GrO_x as a molecular template for the electrodeposition of Pt-black. Glucose microbiosensors based on GrO_x/Pt-black exhibited a low detection limit of 1 μM, a linear response range of 1 μM–2 mM, response time of ~4 s, and desirable selectivity and stability. The novel GrO_x/Pt-black nanocomposite combining the advantages of water soluble GrO_x and electrocatalytic Pt-black is an excellent material platform that can be extended towards the fabrication of other biosensors for versatile physiological sensing applications.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful for the financial support provided by ONR N00014-09-1-0110, NIH 5R21RR026249-02, NSF DMR #0804464, and NSF OISE#0728130 awards.

Appendix A. Supplementary information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.12.003>.

References

- Alwarappan, S., Erdem, A., Liu, C., Li, C.Z., 2009. Journal of Physical Chemistry C 113 (20), 8853–8857.
- Banks, C.E., Davies, T.J., Wildgoose, G.G., Compton, R.G., 2005. Chemical Communications 7, 829–841.
- Chang, G., Oyama, M., Hirao, K., 2007. Thin Solid Films 515 (7–8), 3311–3314.
- Chou, T.S., Worley, F.L., Luss, D., 1979. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Fundamentals 18 (3), 279–283.
- Claussen, J.C., Franklin, A.D., ul Haque, A., Porterfield, D.M., Fisher, T.S., 2009. ACS Nano 3 (1), 37–44.
- Dreyer, D.R., Park, S., Bielawski, C.W., Ruoff, R.S., 2009. Chemical Society Reviews 39 (1), 228–240.
- He, H., Forster, M., Klinowski, J., 1998a. Journal of Physical Chemistry B 102 (23), 4477–4482.
- He, H., Klinowski, J., Forster, M., Lerf, A., 1998b. Chemical Physics Letters 287 (1–2), 53–56.
- House, J.L., Anderson, E.M., Ward, W.K., 2007. Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology 1 (1), 18.
- Hummers, W.S., Offeman, R.E., 1958. Journal of the American Chemical Society 80 (6), 1339–1339.
- Jaffe, L.F., Nuccitelli, R., 1974. Journal of Cell Biology 63 (2), 614–628.
- Jung, I., Dikin, D.A., Piner, R.D., Ruoff, R.S., 2008. Nano Letters 8 (12), 4283–4287.
- Kang, X., Wang, J., Wu, H., Aksay, I.A., Liu, J., Lin, Y., 2009. Biosensors and Bioelectronics 25 (4), 901–905.
- Kissinger, P.T., William, R.H., 1983. Journal of Chemical Education 60, 702–706.

- Kohma, T., Oyamatsu, D., Kuwabata, S., 2007. *Electrochemistry Communications* 9 (5), 1012–1016.
- Kurita, R., Hayashi, K., Fan, X., Yamamoto, K., Kato, T., Niwa, O., 2002. *Sensors and Actuators B. Chemical* 87 (2), 296–303.
- Li, J., Yuan, R., Chai, Y., Che, X., Li, W., 2012. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*, 1–7.
- Liu, Z., Suenaga, K., Harris, P.J.F., Iijima, S., 2009. *Physical Review Letters* 102 (1), 15501.
- Lu, L.M., Li, H.B., Qu, F., Zhang, X.B., Shen, G.L., Yu, R.Q., 2011. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 26 (8), 3500–3504.
- Makino, K., Maruo, S., Morita, Y., Takeuchi, T., 1988. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 31 (6), 617–619.
- Mauko, L., Ogorevc, B., Pihlar, B., 2009. *Electroanalysis* 21 (23), 2535–2541.
- McLamore, E.S., Diggs, A., Calvo Marzal, P., Shi, J., Blakeslee, J.J., Peer, W.A., Murphy, A.S., Porterfield, D.M., 2010a. *The Plant Journal* 63 (6), 1004–1016.
- McLamore, E.S., Mohanty, S., Shi, J., Claussen, J., Jedlicka, S.S., Rickus, J.L., Porterfield, D.M., 2010b. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* 189 (1), 14–22.
- McLamore, E.S., Porterfield, D.M., Banks, M.K., 2009. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 791–799.
- McLamore, E.S., Shi, J., Jaroch, D., Claussen, J.C., Uchida, A., Jiang, Y., Zhang, W., Donkin, S.S., Banks, M.K., Buhman, K.K., Teegarden, D., Rickus, J.L., Porterfield, D.M., 2011. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 26 (5), 2237–2245.
- Mell, L.D., Maloy, J.T., 1975. *Analytical Chemistry* 47 (2), 299–307.
- Periasamy, A.P., Chang, Y.J., Chen, S.M., 2011. *Bioelectrochemistry* 80 (2), 114–120.
- Pumera, M., Scipioni, R., Iwai, H., Ohno, T., Miyahara, Y., Boero, M., 2009. *Chemistry—A European Journal* 15 (41), 10851–10856.
- Rourke, J.P., Pandey, P.A., Moore, J.J., Bates, M., Kinloch, I.A., Young, R.J., Wilson, N.R., 2011. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 50 (14), 3173–3177.
- Shao, Y., Wang, J., Wu, H., Liu, J., Aksay, I.A., Lin, Y., 2010. *Electroanalysis* 22 (10), 1027–1036.
- Shi, J., Cha, T., Claussen, J., Diggs, A., Choi, J.H., Porterfield, D.M., 2011a. *Analyst* 136, 4916–4924.
- Shi, J., Claussen, J., McLamore, E.S., Jaroch, D., Haque, A., Diggs, A., Calvo Marzal, P., Rickus, J., Porterfield, D.M., 2011b. *Nanotechnology* 22 (35), 355502.
- Shi, J., McLamore, E., Jaroch, D., Claussen, J., Rickus, J., Porterfield, D.M., 2011. *Analytical Biochemistry* 411, 185–193.
- Shi, J., Porterfield, D.M., 2011c. In: Andrea, P. (Ed.), *Biosensors for Health, Environment and Biosecurity/ Book 1*. Intech, Vienna.
- Stankovich, S., Dikin, D.A., Dommett, G.H.B., Kohlhaas, K.M., Zimney, E.J., Stach, E.A., Piner, R.D., Nguyen, S.B.T., Ruoff, R.S., 2006. *Nature* 442 (7100), 282–286.
- Stankovich, S., Dikin, D.A., Piner, R.D., Kohlhaas, K.A., Kleinhammes, A., Jia, Y., Wu, Y., Nguyen, S.B.T., Ruoff, R.S., 2007. *Carbon* 45 (7), 1558–1565.
- Sun, C.-L., Lee, H.-H., Yang, J.-M., Wu, C.-C., 2011. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 26 (8), 3450–3455.
- Sun, T.P., Shieh, H.L., Ching, C.T.S., Yao, Y.D., Huang, S.H., Liu, C.M., Liu, W.H., Chen, C.Y., 2010. *International Journal of Nanomedicine* 5, 343.
- Van Benschoten, J.J., 1983. *Journal of Chemical Education* 60 (9), 772–776.
- Wang, J., 1999. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis* 19 (1–2), 1–2.
- Wang, J., 2005. *Electroanalysis* 17 (1), 7–14.
- Wu, H., Wang, J., Kang, X., Wang, C., Wang, D., Liu, J., Aksay, I.A., Lin, Y., 2009. *Talanta* 80 (1), 403–406.
- Xu, F., Sun, Y., Zhang, Y., Shi, Y., Wen, Z., Li, Z., 2011. *Electrochemistry Communications* 13 (10), 1131–1134.
- Yang, X., Zhu, J., Qiu, L., Li, D., 2011. *Advanced Materials* 23 (25), 2833–2838.
- Zhang, L., Li, Y., Li, D.W., Karpuzov, D., Long, Y.T., 2011. *International Journal of Electrochemical Science* 6, 819–829.
- Zhang, S., Wang, N., Yu, H., Niu, Y., Sun, C., 2005. *Bioelectrochemistry* 67 (1), 15–22.